Appellate Case: 14-1025 Document: 01019191716 Date Filed: 01/24/2014 Page: 1

FILED
United States Court of Appeals

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

**January 24, 2014** 

**Tenth Circuit** 

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

NATHANIEL JAMES HARVEY, III,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

No. 14-1025 (D.C. No. 1:13-CV-01574-RBJ-BNB)

CATHERINE SEGURA, in her official and individual capacity; LT. BRENT LANG, (Unit 4), in his official capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

.....

ORDER

Before HARTZ, TYMKOVICH, and MATHESON, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

The plaintiff appeals an order of the district court adopting the recommendation of the magistrate judge to deny his motion to amend his amended complaint by adding two defendants. This court lacks jurisdiction because no final or appealable order has been entered by the district court.

This court has jurisdiction to review only final decisions, 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and specific types of interlocutory orders not applicable here. A final decision is one that "'ends the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing for the court to do but execute the judgment." *Cunningham v. Hamilton County*, 527 U.S. 198, 204 (1999) (quoting *Van* 

*Cauwenberghe v. Biard*, 486 U.S. 517, 521-22 (1988)). Here, the order being appealed is not final or otherwise immediately appealable.

## APPEAL DISMISSED.

Entered for the Court

ELISABETH A. SHUMAKER, Clerk

by: Ellen Rich Reiter Jurisdictional Attorney